

NEW YORK  
LONDON  
SINGAPORE  
PHILADELPHIA  
CHICAGO  
WASHINGTON, DC  
SAN FRANCISCO  
SILICON VALLEY  
SAN DIEGO  
LOS ANGELES  
TAIWAN  
BOSTON  
HOUSTON  
AUSTIN  
HANOI  
HO CHI MINH CITY

# Duane Morris®

FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES

DANA B. KLINGES  
DIRECT DIAL: +1 215 979 1143  
PERSONAL FAX: +1 215 405 2632  
E-MAIL: dklinges@duanemorris.com

[www.duanemorris.com](http://www.duanemorris.com)

SHANGHAI  
ATLANTA  
BALTIMORE  
WILMINGTON  
MIAMI  
BOCA RATON  
PITTSBURGH  
NEWARK  
LAS VEGAS  
CHERRY HILL  
LAKE TAHOE  
MYANMAR  
OMAN  
A GCC REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE  
OF DUANE MORRIS  
ALLIANCES IN MEXICO  
AND SRI LANKA

May 8, 2019

**VIA ECF AND FACSIMILE (215-580-2141)**

The Honorable Jan E. DuBois  
United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of Pennsylvania  
James A. Byrne U.S. Courthouse  
601 Market Street  
Room 12613  
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Re: **Moore v. Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corp. d/b/a/ Rite Aid Corporation, No. 13-CV-01515**

Dear Judge DuBois:

This firm represents Defendant Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corp. d/b/a/ Rite Aid Corporation ("Rite Aid") in the above litigation. This letter is in response to this Court's Order entered on April 25, 2019 directing the parties to file and serve a report covering the details of the disposition of the case. Plaintiff's counsel has approved the content of this report.

You will recall that on December 20, 2017, this Court granted Defendant's Motion to Dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment and dismissed with prejudice Plaintiff's claims in Count I of the Amended Complaint. The December 20, 2017 Order, however, did not resolve Count II of the Amended Complaint. On February 16, 2018, after a telephone conference with the Court, Plaintiff and Defendant stipulated to the dismissal of Count II with prejudice, with each party bearing its own costs, and stipulated to the entry of final judgment. Also on February 16, 2018, this Court dismissed the action with prejudice as to all claims of Plaintiff Kyra Moore and entered final judgment in favor of Defendant Rite Aid. Plaintiff then filed a notice of appeal of this Court's December 20, 2017 Order.

DUANE MORRIS LLP

30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196

PHONE: +1 215 979 1000 FAX: +1 215 979 1020

DM2\9886343.1

Duane Morris

The Honorable Jan E. DuBois  
May 8, 2019  
Page 2

After the appeal was fully briefed and after receiving notice that no oral argument would be scheduled for the appeal, on April 2, 2019, counsel for Ms. Moore proposed the dismissal of the appeal with an agreement that each party would bear responsibility for its own costs. While both sides had confidence in their respective appellate positions, they also agreed that continuation of the appeal under these circumstances would result in unnecessary additional time and expense for the parties and the court. Accordingly, Defendant agreed to waive its right to seek the recovery of costs, with each side paying its own costs, in exchange for a dismissal with prejudice.

The stipulation of dismissal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit reflects this agreement. For the Court's convenience, I enclose a filed copy of that stipulation. The mandate of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit dismissing the appeal makes final and not subject to further appeal or litigation this Court's judgment in favor of Defendant Rite Aid.

Should Your Honor have any questions, we will make ourselves available at the Court's convenience.

Respectfully,



Dana B. Klimes

DBK/dmg  
Enclosure

cc: James A. Francis, Esquire  
Irv Ackelsberg, Esquire

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT**

Kyra Moore,	:	
on behalf of herself and	:	
all others similarly situated,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff – Appellant,	:	
	:	
v.	:	No. 18-1551
	:	
	:	
Rite Aid Hdqtrs Corp,	:	
	:	
Defendant – Appellee.	:	
	:	

**STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL  
PURSUANT TO FED. R. APP. P. 42(B)**

On this twelfth day of April, 2019, it is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties that the Appellant wishes to withdraw and dismiss the above-captioned appeal, and the Appellee consents to the dismissal, provided the dismissal is with prejudice. Accordingly, it is stipulated that this matter should be **DISMISSED** pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 42(b) with prejudice and without costs to be taxed against any party.

*/s/ Irv Ackelsberg*

*/s/ Dana B. Klinges*

---

Irv Ackelsberg  
LANGER GROGAN & DIVER, P.C.  
1717 Arch Street, Ste. 4020  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

---

Dana B. Klinges, Esq.  
DUANE MORRIS  
30 South 17th Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

*Counsel for Appellant*

*Counsel for Appellee*